

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,312	03/17/2005	Markus Franke	2002P15289WOUS	2692
7590 06/25/2009 Siemens Corporation			EXAMINER	
Intellectual Property Department			HAILU, TESHOME	
170 Wood Av- Iselin, NJ 088			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2434	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/528,312	FRANKE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	TESHOME HAILU	2434	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandomment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if reckeds, Ay myet py received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL
The Notice of Appeal was filed on
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>6.8.10.12.14.16.18.20.22 and 23</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41/33((11)).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)
/Kambiz Zand/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2434

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argued that the art on record, Oka (US Pub. No. 2002/01/08042), fail to beach the claims 6 and 18 limitation, "only those signatures generated at a time prior to the certification of the public validation key are recognized as valid". Examiner disagrees. As discussed in the last offic action, Oka, teaches this limitation as, (page 12, paregraph 193, fig. 22 shows an example in which the end entity (EE) 300 outputs a public key certificate issuance request to the registration authority (RA1) 311, Numerals (1) through (10) in FIG. 22 represent steps to be taken by the parties involved. These steps are described below in ascending order). According to Oka, the end entity (EE) sends the public key certificate authority server through registration authority. Then the certificate authority server through registration authority. Then the certificate authority execute signature using hardware security module (HSM). The CA check whether the signature is valid or not and then if it is judged valid, the CA sends the public key certificate to end entity (EE) through registration authority. Here validating the signature by CA takes place before certifying the public key. Once the signature is valid, the CA sends the public key cortificate to end entity (meaning first validating the signature and then certifying the public key. Once the signature is valid, the CA sends the public key cortificate is issued (step 9). Examiner asserts that the art on record teaches the claim limitations as discussed in the previous office action and therefore the rejection is respectfully maintained. Examiner asserts that the art on record teaches the claim limitations as discussed in the previous office action and the final ericitor is respectfully maintained. Examiner asserts that the art on record teaches the claim limitations as discussed in the previous office action and the final ericitor is respectfully maintained.